Exhibit 4

	Page 1
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3	
	NEW YORK IMMIGRATION COALITION, ET AL.,
4	
	Plaintiffs,
5	vs. Case No. 1:18-CF-05025-JMF
6	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, ET AL.,
7	Defendants.
8	
9	Washington, D.C.
10	Thursday, August 30, 2018
11	Deposition of:
12	EARL COMSTOCK
13	called for oral examination by counsel for
14	Plaintiffs, pursuant to notice, at the office of
15	Arnold & Porter, 601 Massachusetts Avenue NW,
16	Washington, D.C., before KAREN LYNN JORGENSON,
17	RPR, CSR, CCR of Capital Reporting Company,
18	beginning at 9:08 a.m., when were present on
19	behalf of the respective parties:
20	
21	
22	

Page 266

reported back to the Secretary, I'm sorry, Mr. Secretary, it does not appear we can accomplish this objective.

2.0

Q Why did you need to come up with a reason for asking the question, separate and apart from whatever reason the Secretary had in his own head?

A Again, my job is to figure out how to carry out what my boss asks me to do. So you go forward and you find a legal rationale. Doesn't matter what his particular personal perspective is on it. It's not -- it's not going to be the basis on which a decision is made.

Q That's your understanding, that the way you should do it, is come up with a rationale that has nothing to do with what's in the Secretary's mind as to why he wants it; is that your understanding of how it's supposed to work?

A No. Again, you continue to characterize things in a way that you believe may be correct, but not the way I believe to be correct. My job, as a person who has been doing this for 30-plus years for clients and people in the government, is

2.0

2.1

Page 267

if they would like to accomplish an objective, I see if there's a way to do that. And, again, if it's not legal, you tell them that. If it can't be done, you tell them that. If there's a way to do it, then you help them find the best rationale to do it. That's what a policy person does.

And so, again, if I came up with a rationale that the Secretary didn't agree with or didn't support, then he was going to tell me that. I have no doubt about that. But in the meantime, he doesn't -- I don't need to know what his rationale might be, because it may or may not be one that is -- that is something that's going to a legally-valid basis.

So, again, he's got -- he's asked, can we put -- can we put a question on? The job of a policy person is go out and find out how you do that. Whether that decision is going to be made ultimately to do it or not, that's up to the decision-maker.

Q Are you saying you're better off not knowing what the Secretary's own rationale is for

Page 268 1 wanting the citizenship question? 2. The Secretary, as you would point out, is not a voting rights lawyer, so I would not expect 3 4 him to necessarily come up with a rationale. 5 That's the job of the staff at work. You certainly wouldn't expect the 6 Secretary to have come up with the idea that the 7 8 reason he should want the citizenship question is 9 the Voting Rights Act; you wouldn't expect him to 10 come up that on his own? 11 I -- he might well. I don't know. 12 0 You have no reason to believe that he 13 did, right? 1 4 MR. GARDNER: Objection. Calls for 15 speculation. 16 THE WITNESS: I'm not going to speculate 17 about what his rationale was. You'd have to --BY MR. GERSCH: 18 19 Q Because --2.0 -- ask him. Α 2.1 -- because you have no idea what his 22 rationale is?

```
Page 269
             That's correct.
1
         Α
 2.
             Counsel asked you about contact you made
         Q
 3
     with the Department of Justice --
             Correct.
 4
         Α
 5
             -- starting with a Ms. Haney [sic], I
     believe.
 6
7
             Do you recall that?
8
             Yes. I believe her name is Hankey,
 9
     but --
10
         Q
             Hankey. I apologize.
11
             What was the full name? I can get it out
12
     if you don't know it offhand.
13
             Mary Blanche, but --
         Α
1 4
             I'll find it in here.
         0
15
         Α
             It's in one of these exhibits, the memo
16
     that I wrote. Here.
17
             Mary Blanche --
         Q
18
         Α
           Yep.
19
         Q
             -- Hankey; is that right?
2.0
         Α
             Yeah.
2.1
             All right. So you went -- you called
         Q
22
     Mary Blanche Hankey --
```

			Page 270	
1		A	Correct.	
2		Q	with regard to adding a citizenship	
3	question to the census, right?			
4		A	Correct.	
5		Q	And you wanted to see if the	
6	Department of Justice would sponsor the question?			
7		A	Correct.	
8		Q	And you had a phone call with her, and	
9	you	had	at least a meeting with her, right?	
10		A	Right.	
11		Q	So at least two contacts?	
12		A	Three, when she called me back with	
13	somebody else's name.			
14		Q	Fair enough.	
15			Didn't didn't Ms. Hankey say, why do	
16	you	want	t to have a citizenship question?	
17		A	No, she didn't.	
18		Q	Didn't come up, at all?	
19		А	Nope.	
20		Q	She referred you to a Mr. McHenry; is	
21	that	ri	ght?	
22		A	Correct.	